



Tax alert: Supreme Court dismisses Revenue SLPs against eligibility of input tax credit on mobile towers

12 August 2025

The Supreme Court (SC) has dismissed the Revenue's special leave petitions (SLPs) challenging the Delhi High Court (HC) judgment which had held mobile towers to be in nature of 'movable property' and eligible for input tax credit (ITC).

In a nutshell



Delhi HC had ruled that mobile towers are movable property relying on SC judgement in taxpayer's own case in erstwhile regime.



HC had observed ITC cannot be denied under provisions blocking ITC in respect of construction of immovable property.



The Revenue had filed SLPs before the SC challenging the HC judgement.



SC dismissed the petitions observing that these are unfit cases to exercise its discretion under the Constitution of India.



Scroll down to read the detailed alert

Background

- The Delhi High Court (HC), in the case of respondent¹, ruled that telecommunication towers are movable property and do not fall within the ambit of provisions under GST law restricting input tax credit in respect of construction of immovable property. Thus, ITC was held to be eligible.
- The HC had extensively relied on the Supreme Court's verdict in the Bharti Airtel² case under the erstwhile indirect tax regime, which concluded that telecommunication towers do not meet the criteria for immovable property.
- The HC had emphasized that the exclusion of telecommunication towers from the definition of "plant and machinery" in the GST law does not imply they are immovable property.
- The Revenue had filed special leave petitions before the Supreme Court (SC) challenging the HC judgement.

Observations of the Supreme Court

- The delay in filing the petitions has been condoned in the facts and circumstances of the case.
- After hearing both the sides, the SC dismissed the petitions observing that these are not fit cases to exercise discretion to grant special leave under the Constitution of India.

Deloitte comments

With the dismissal of the petitions by the SC, the Delhi HC judgement conclusion that mobile towers are movable property and that ITC shall be eligible, attains finality. As the SC has dismissed the SLPs, the HC order does not get merged into the SC order.

¹ Commissioner v. M/s. Bharti Airtel Limited Special Leave Petition (Civil) Diary No. 35416/2025

² M/s. Bharti Airtel Ltd. v. The Commissioner of Central Excise 2024-VIL-49-SC-CE



Deloitte refers to one or more of Deloitte Touche Tohmatsu Limited (“DTTL”), its global network of member firms, and their related entities (collectively, the “Deloitte organization”). DTTL (also referred to as “Deloitte Global”) and each of its member firms and related entities are legally separate and independent entities, which cannot obligate or bind each other in respect of third parties. DTTL and each DTTL member firm and related entity is liable only for its own acts and omissions, and not those of each other. DTTL does not provide services to clients. Please see <http://www.deloitte.com/about> to learn more.

Deloitte Asia Pacific Limited is a company limited by guarantee and a member firm of DTTL. Members of Deloitte Asia Pacific Limited and their related entities, each of which is a separate and independent legal entity, provide services from more than 100 cities across the region, including Auckland, Bangkok, Beijing, Bengaluru, Hanoi, Hong Kong, Jakarta, Kuala Lumpur, Manila, Melbourne, Mumbai, New Delhi, Osaka, Seoul, Shanghai, Singapore, Sydney, Taipei and Tokyo.

This communication contains general information only, and none of DTTL, its global network of member firms or their related entities is, by means of this communication, rendering professional advice or services. Before making any decision or taking any action that may affect your finances or your business, you should consult a qualified professional adviser.

No representations, warranties or undertakings (express or implied) are given as to the accuracy or completeness of the information in this communication, and none of DTTL, its member firms, related entities, employees or agents shall be liable or responsible for any loss or damage whatsoever arising directly or indirectly in connection with any person relying on this communication.