## Deloitte.

#### Tax

Issue H120/2025 - 17 June 2025

# Hong Kong Tax Analysis

## Court of First Instance upholds Board of Review's decision on taxpayer's burden of proof

A recent tax court case, Samsung SDI (Hong Kong) Limited v. Commissioner of Inland Revenue [2025 HKCFI 1282], has been finalized. The Hong Kong Court of First Instance (CFI) upheld the Board of Review's (BoR) decision that the taxpayer failed to discharge its burden of proof in demonstrating that the disputed profits were offshore-sourced and dismissed the appeal.

## **Case background**

The taxpayer, a Hong Kong-incorporated company and a member of the Samsung SDI Group, was ultimately owned by Samsung SDI Company Limited (SDD Korea) in Korea. SDD Korea was one of the largest manufacturers of display device products.

The taxpayer derived profits from the sales of display device products, which were manufactured in a Mainland factory under a processing agreement and its Mainland subsidiaries, to SDD Korea and worldwide customers respectively.

## The dispute

The taxpayer claimed that the profits were offshore-sourced, as the sales were arranged and agreed outside Hong Kong. However, the Inland Revenue Department (IRD) determined that the profits were onshore-sourced. Specifically, the IRD contended that the taxpayer was interposed after each relevant transaction. What the taxpayer did to earn the profits was its service as a signatory to the processing agreement, its presence in Hong Kong and therefore booking the sales in Hong Kong, and acting as a reinvoicing company in Hong Kong. As all these functions were performed in Hong Kong, the profits were onshore-sourced.

Authors:

#### Doris Chik

Tax Partner
Tel: +852 2852 6608
Email: dchik@deloitte.com.hk

#### Carmen Cheung

Senior Tax Manager Tel: +852 2740 8660 Email: carmcheung@deloitte.com.hk

## Kiwi Fung

Tax Manager Tel: +852 2258 6162 Email: kifung@deloitte.com.hk

For more information, please contact:

Tax & Business Advisory Southern Region Leader

#### Jennifer Zhang Tax Partner

Tel: +86 20 2885 8608

Email: jenzhang@deloittecn.com.cn

## Hong Kong Leader

#### Anthony Lau

Tax Partner Tel: +852 2852 1082

Email: antlau@deloitte.com.hk

#### The decisions

The taxpayer appealed to the BoR which dismissed the appeal on the grounds that the taxpayer failed to discharge its burden of proof. The taxpayer further appealed to the CFI which upheld the BoR's decision. This case became final and conclusive.

## Onus of proof

In the context of tax appeals, the onus of proof lies with the taxpayer to demonstrate that the assessment appealed against is excessive or incorrect. This means the taxpayer is obliged to provide sufficient evidence to support their claims. The IRD does not need to prove that the assessment is correct, and the BoR is not bound to make any finding of fact one way or the other. If the taxpayer fails to discharge the burden of proof, or if the evidence is disbelieved, the appeal will be dismissed, and the assessment will stand.

In the present case, the BoR found that the taxpayer failed to provide sufficient evidence to prove that the IRD's assessment was incorrect. The BoR commented that the taxpayer had chosen to present piecemeal and selective evidence and the underlying facts were too uncertain. Although the taxpayer called 7 witnesses to the BoR, the BoR found the witnesses' evidence unreliable, as they tended to assert their assumed or understanding of practices rather than providing factual evidence based on direct knowledge.

The CFI considered that the BoR's assessment of the evidence was fair and reasonable. The BoR, being a fact-finding tribunal, had considered the witness evidence appropriately and had valid reasons for its reservations about its reliability and completeness.

## **Effective cause**

The taxpayer tried to argue that the BoR enquired into information that was irrelevant in determining the source of profits. The CFI commented that there is no universal test for ascertaining the source of profits. The broad guiding principles do not exclude the relevance of other factors which the BoR or court may need to consider. The CFI agreed that the BoR was entitled to consider all factors in identifying the effective cause of the disputed profits, especially given the complexity of the operations, which were not straightforward purchase and sale transactions.

As the taxpayer did not present the full picture to the BoR, the BoR was not in a position to reach a conclusion as to what was happening for the taxpayer to have earned the income and determine the effective cause of the disputed profits.

Given that the statutory burden of proof lies with the taxpayer, the CFI considered that the BoR did not make an error in dismissing the appeal as the evidence presented by the taxpayer was insufficient for it to make a finding.

## **Delay**

The BoR took over 8 years to hand down its decision, ultimately dismissing the taxpayer's appeal. While acknowledging that the Board might want to be detailed and careful in preparing the decision in view of the complexity of facts and the substantial amount of tax involved, the CFI commented that such a significant delay is entirely unacceptable. Nevertheless, the delay alone could not be a ground of appeal and would not entitle the taxpayer to relief.

## **Our observation**

This case underscores the importance for taxpayers to provide sufficient, comprehensive, and convincing evidence, including credible witness testimony from individuals with direct knowledge, to support their claims, especially for offshore profits. Failing to meet this burden of proof can result in the dismissal of an appeal.

Taxpayers are advised to maintain contemporaneous documentation to support their tax filing positions and seek professional assistance when disputes arise. In addition, taxpayers should carefully review the facts agreed with the IRD to avoid any misinterpretation.

Additionally, the CFI's criticism of the significant delay by the BoR in rendering its decision highlights potential negative impacts on the parties and the legal system's reputation. Given that this is not the first time courts have raised concerns about the BoR's delays, it may be time for the Government to review the BoR's structure and resources and consider the need for reforms.

**Tax Analysis** is published for the clients and professionals of the Hong Kong and Chinese Mainland offices of Deloitte China. The contents are of a general nature only. Readers are advised to consult their tax advisors before acting on any information contained in this newsletter. For more information or advice on the above subject or analysis of other tax issues, please contact:

## Country Leader of Tax and Business Advisory services, Deloitte China

**Victor Li**Partner

Tel: +86 755 3353 8113 Fax: +86 755 8246 3222 Email: vicli@deloittecn.com.cn

## Northern and Western China

**Xiaoli Huang**Partner

Tel: +86 10 8520 7707 Fax: +86 10 6508 8781

Email: xiaolihuang@deloittecn.com.cn

## **Eastern China**

**Maria Liang**Partner

Tel: +86 21 6141 1059 Fax: +86 21 6335 0003

Email: mliang@deloittecn.com.cn

#### Southern China

Jennifer Zhang

Partner

Tel: +86 20 2885 8608 Fax: +86 20 3888 0115

Email: jenzhang@deloittecn.com.cn

#### About the Deloitte China National Tax Technical Centre

The Deloitte China National Tax Technical Centre ("NTC") was established in 2006 to continuously improve the quality of Deloitte China's tax services and help Deloitte China's tax team better serve the clients. The Deloitte China NTC issues "Tax Analysis" which are commentaries on newly issued tax laws, regulations, and circulars from technical perspective. The Deloitte China NTC also conducts research and analysis and provides professional opinions on ambiguous and complex issues. For more information, please contact:

#### **National Tax Technical Center**

Email: ntc@deloitte.com.cn

## Northern and Western China

**Tony Zhang**Partner

Tel: +86 28 6789 8008 Fax: +86 28 6317 3500

Email: tonzhang@deloittecn.com.cn

## **Eastern China**

**Kevin Zhu**Partner

Tel: +86 21 6141 1262 Fax: +86 21 6335 0003

Email: kzhu@deloittecn.com.cn

## Southern China (Hong Kong)

**Doris Chik** Partner

Tel: +852 2852 6608 Fax: +852 2543 4647

Email: dchik@deloitte.com.hk

## Southern China (Mainland)

**Tony Yao**Partner

Tel: +86 20 2831 1369 Fax: +86 20 3888 0115

Email: heyao@deloittecn.com.cn

If you prefer to receive future issues by soft copy or update us with your new correspondence details, please notify national marketing team of Deloitte China by email at cimchina@deloitte.com.hk.



#### About Deloitte

Deloitte China provides integrated professional services, with our long-term commitment to be a leading contributor to China's reform, opening-up and economic development. We are a globally connected firm with deep roots locally, owned by our partners in China. With over 20,000 professionals across 31 Chinese cities, we provide our clients with a one-stop shop offering world-leading audit, tax and consulting services.

We serve with integrity, uphold quality and strive to innovate. With our professional excellence, insight across industries, and intelligent technology solutions, we help clients and partners from many sectors seize opportunities, tackle challenges and attain world-class, high-quality development goals.

The Deloitte brand originated in 1845, and its name in Chinese (德勤) denotes integrity, diligence and excellence. Deloitte's global professional network of member firms now spans more than 150 countries and territories. Through our mission to make an impact that matters, we help reinforce public trust in capital markets, enable clients to transform and thrive, empower talents to be future-ready, and lead the way toward a stronger economy, a more equitable society and a sustainable world.

Deloitte refers to one or more of Deloitte Touche Tohmatsu Limited ("DTTL"), its global network of member firms, and their related entities (collectively, the "Deloitte organization"). DTTL (also referred to as "Deloitte Global") and each of its member firms and related entities are legally separate and independent entities, which cannot obligate or bind each other in respect of third parties. DTTL and each DTTL member firm and related entity is liable only for its own acts and omissions, and not those of each other. DTTL does not provide services to clients. Please see www.deloitte.com/about to learn more.

Deloitte Asia Pacific Limited is a company limited by guarantee and a member firm of DTTL. Members of Deloitte Asia Pacific Limited and their related entities, each of which is a separate and independent legal entity, provide services from more than 100 cities across the region, including Auckland, Bangkok, Beijing, Bengaluru, Hanoi, Hong Kong, Jakarta, Kuala Lumpur, Manila, Melbourne, Mumbai, New Delhi, Osaka, Seoul, Shanghai, Singapore, Sydney, Taipei and Tokyo.

This communication contains general information only, and none of DTTL, its global network of member firms or their related entities is, by means of this communication, rendering professional advice or services. Before making any decision or taking any action that may affect your finances or your business, you should consult a qualified professional adviser.

No representations, warranties or undertakings (express or implied) are given as to the accuracy or completeness of the information in this communication, and none of DTTL, its member firms, related entities, employees or agents shall be liable or responsible for any loss or damage whatsoever arising directly or indirectly in connection with any person relying on this communication.

© 2025. For information, contact Deloitte China.