Deloitte.
德勤

Tax
Issue P200/2014 – 15 October 2014

Tax Analysis

For more BEPS information, please contact:

International Tax
Beijing
Jennifer Zhang
Tel: +86 10 8520 7638
Email: jenzhang@deloitte.com.cn

Shanghai
Leonard Khaw
Tel: +86 21 6141 1498
Email: lkhaw@deloitte.com.cn

Hong Ye *
Tel: +86 21 6141 1171
Email: hoyeqinli@qinlilawfirm.com

Hong Kong
Anthony Lau
Tel: +852 2852 1082
Email: antlau@deloitte.com.hk

Transfer Pricing
Shanghai
Eunice Kuo
Tel: +86 21 6141 1308
Email: eunicekuo@deloitte.com.cn

Hong Kong
Patrick Cheung
Tel: +852 2852 1095
Email: patcheung@deloitte.com.hk

* Hong Ye is from Qin Li Law Firm, which is a licensed Chinese law firm and forms part of Deloitte's global Tax & Legal network. Deloitte Legal is one of the major legal practices around the world.

BEPS Action 2: Hybrid mismatch arrangements

On 16 September 2014, ahead of the G20 Finance Ministers' meeting on 20-21 September, the OECD published seven papers as a first tranche of deliverables under the Base Erosion and Profit Shifting (BEPS) Project. The OECD will be continuing its work on the remainder of the 15 Actions on BEPS throughout 2015. It is clear that the G20 and OECD governments intend that recommendations under each of the BEPS Actions will form a comprehensive and cohesive approach to the international tax framework, including domestic law recommendations and international principles under the model tax treaty and transfer pricing guidelines. As a result, the proposed solutions in the first seven papers, while agreed, are not yet finalised and may be affected by decisions and future work on BEPS in 2015.

Deloitte comments

The recommendations seek to address a number of concerns raised in consultation - but it remains clear that, where implemented, the proposals will impact many widely used hybrid financing arrangements. The OECD will develop a Commentary by September 2015 to aid countries in implementing the new rules.

The focus on structured arrangements and related party/controlled group helps strike a balance between compliance costs and neutralising the tax benefit from mismatches. The move to a 25% threshold (rather than 10%) addresses concern that tax authorities and taxpayers will find it difficult to obtain information to conclude whether a structure could be a hybrid mismatch arrangement.

We hope clarity will be provided as soon as possible on the substantive open points, to reduce uncertainty and to limit unilateral measures that may result in double taxation. This is of particular importance to the banking and insurance sectors where there is concern that the recommendations could have a disproportionately negative effect.

The recommendations are designed for translation into domestic legislation and tax treaties. Given the unresolved substantive issues and the need for implementation guidance, it seems unlikely that any country will begin implementation prior to publication of the Commentary in September 2015. Consideration will be given as to whether a number of jurisdictions can agree to introduce provisions that are effective from a common date. The widespread and timely implementation of consistent rules will be the key to effectively tackling the hybrid mismatch arrangements the Action seeks to target and the proposed Commentary is essential to achieving this.

OECD Proposal

The recommendations are designed to neutralise mismatches by targeting the following types of arrangement:

Deduction/No Inclusion (D/NI) outcomes

- *Hybrid financial instruments* - a deductible payment made under a financial instrument (including a hybrid transfer such as a repo) is not treated as taxable income under the laws of the recipient's jurisdiction;

- *Disregarded hybrid payments* - differences in the treatment of the hybrid payer result in a deductible payment being disregarded in the other jurisdiction; and

- *Reverse hybrids* - payments made to an intermediary are not taxable on receipt due to a hybrid effect.

Double Deduction (D/D) outcomes

- *Deductible hybrid payments* - a deductible payment by a hybrid payer which result in a second deduction in a parent jurisdiction; and

- *Deductible payments made by dual residents* - deductible payments made by a dual resident entity trigger a second deduction in the other jurisdiction.

Indirect Deduction/No Inclusion (Indirect D/NI) outcomes

- *Imported mismatch arrangements* - the effect of a hybrid mismatch that arises between two jurisdictions can be shifted (or imported) into another jurisdiction through the use of a plain-vanilla financial instrument.

Recommendations

Specific hybrid mismatch rules are recommended to address each of these arrangements. The recommendations are in the form of "linking rules" to be adopted within domestic legislation; a primary rule, to apply whenever a mismatch arises (primarily denying a deduction) and, a secondary or defensive rule, to apply in circumstances where the primary rule does not apply (generally to tax income). This approach seeks to align the tax treatment of an instrument or entity with the tax outcomes in the counterparty jurisdiction on a standalone basis, without reliance on counterparty jurisdictions. To avoid double taxation, a hierarchy operates to switch-off the effect of one rule where there is a rule in the counterparty jurisdiction which addresses the mismatch.

Further changes to domestic law are recommended to align better domestic and cross-border outcomes: restricting dividend exemptions for deductible payments; limitation of tax credits for taxes withheld at source; improvements to Controlled Foreign Company (CFC) and other offshore investment regimes; restricting the tax transparency of reverse hybrids that are members of a controlled group; and information reporting requirements.

Scope

Each hybrid mismatch rule has its own defined scope which seeks to achieve a balance between a rule that is comprehensive, targeted and administrable. Broadly, the rules target structured arrangements and related party/controlled group transactions. In response to concerns in respect of obtaining information from minority stakeholders, the related party definition applies where there is a 25% (rather than 10%) investment. The hybrid entity rules apply to controlled groups, which includes those groups consolidated for accounting purposes.

Further work is required in respect of imported mismatch arrangements and the need to clarify whether or not income taxed under a CFC regime should be treated as included in ordinary income. The OECD acknowledges countries may in the meantime make their own policy choices.

Banking and Insurance - Regulatory capital

A key concern raised during the consultation process was the application of the rules to hybrid regulatory capital issued intra-group. Further work is required to clarify whether special treatment is justified.

Treaty issues

A new model treaty provision is recommended which sets out that a recipient entity that is fiscally transparent under the tax laws of either country will be treated as if it is resident in the recipient country for the purpose of accessing the treaty, but only to the extent that the recipient country, in its domestic law, treats the entity as a resident in respect of the income concerned (and therefore taxes it). The work undertaken in respect of BEPS Action 6: Preventing the granting of treaty benefits in inappropriate circumstances addresses some issues in respect of dual resident entities.

Timetable and Next Steps

Guidance, in the form of a detailed Commentary to enable domestic adoption, will be issued no later than September 2015. The Commentary will explain how the rules would operate in practice, including practical examples, and set out transitional rules if there are differing dates of implementation. In addition, work will continue in respect of the outstanding substantive points (certain capital market transactions (including on market stock lending and repos), imported mismatches and CFC inclusion) with a view to reaching consensus and publishing the recommendations along with the Commentary. Further input will be sought from stakeholders.

It will be necessary to consider the implications of recommendations made in respect of Action 3- Strengthen Controlled Foreign Company rules and Action 4- Limit Base Erosion via interest deductions and other financial payments.

Tax Analysis is published for the clients and professionals of the Hong Kong and Chinese Mainland offices of Deloitte China. The contents are of a general nature only. Readers are advised to consult their tax advisors before acting on any information contained in this newsletter. For more information or advice on the above subject or analysis of other tax issues, please contact:

Beijing
Kevin Ng
Partner
Tel: +86 10 8520 7501
Fax: +86 10 8518 7501
Email: kevng@deloitte.com.cn

Hong Kong
Sarah Chin
Partner
Tel: +852 2852 6440
Fax: +852 2520 6205
Email: sachin@deloitte.com.hk

Shenzhen
Sarah Chin
Partner
Tel: +86 755 8246 3255
Fax: +86 755 8246 3186
Email: sachin@deloitte.com.hk

Chongqing
Frank Tang
Partner
Tel: +86 23 6310 6206
Fax: +86 23 6310 6170
Email: ftang@deloitte.com.cn

Jinan
Beth Jiang
Director
Tel: +86 531 8518 1058
Fax: +86 531 8518 1068
Email: betjiang@deloitte.com.cn

Suzhou
Frank Xu / Maria Liang
Partner
Tel: +86 512 6289 1318 / 1328
Fax: +86 512 6762 3338
Email: frakxu@deloitte.com.cn
mliang@deloitte.com.cn

Dalian
Frank Tang
Partner
Tel: +86 411 8371 2888
Fax: +86 411 8360 3297
Email: ftang@deloitte.com.cn

Macau
Sarah Chin
Partner
Tel: +853 2871 2998
Fax: +853 2871 3033
Email: sachin@deloitte.com.hk

Tianjin
Jason Su
Partner
Tel: +86 22 2320 6680
Fax: +86 22 2320 6699
Email: jassu@deloitte.com.cn

Guangzhou
Sarah Chin
Partner
Tel: +86 20 8396 9228
Fax: +86 20 3888 0121
Email: sachin@deloitte.com.hk

Nanjing
Frank Xu
Partner
Tel: +86 25 5791 5208
Fax: +86 25 8691 8776
Email: frakxu@deloitte.com.cn

Wuhan
Justin Zhu
Partner
Tel: +86 27 8526 6618
Fax: +86 27 8526 7032
Email: juszhu@deloitte.com.cn

Hangzhou
Qiang Lu
Partner
Tel: +86 571 2811 1901
Fax: +86 571 2811 1904
Email: qilu@deloitte.com.cn

Shanghai
Eunice Kuo
Partner
Tel: +86 21 6141 1308
Fax: +86 21 6335 0003
Email: eunicekuo@deloitte.com.cn

Xiamen
Sarah Chin
Partner
Tel: +86 592 2107 298
Fax: +86 592 2107 259
Email: sachin@deloitte.com.hk

About the Deloitte China National Tax Technical Centre

The Deloitte China National Tax Technical Centre (“NTC”) was established in 2006 to continuously improve the quality of Deloitte China’s tax services, to better serve the clients, and to help Deloitte China’s tax team excel. The Deloitte China NTC prepares and publishes “Tax Analysis”, “Tax News”, etc. These publications include introduction and commentaries on newly issued tax legislations, regulations and circulars from technical perspectives. The Deloitte China NTC also conducts research studies and analysis and provides professional opinions on ambiguous and complex issues. For more information, please contact:

National Tax Technical Centre
Email: ntc@deloitte.com.cn

National Leader
Leonard Khaw
Partner
Tel: +86 21 6141 1498
Fax: +86 21 6335 0003
Email: lkhaw@deloitte.com.cn

Northern China
Julie Zhang
Partner
Tel: +86 10 8520 7511
Fax: +86 10 8518 1326
Email: juliezhang@deloitte.com.cn

Southern China (Hong Kong)
Davy Yun
Partner
Tel: +852 2852 6538
Fax: +852 2520 6205
Email: dyun@deloitte.com.hk

Southern China (Mainland/Macau)
German Cheung
Director
Tel: +86 20 2831 1369
Fax: +86 20 3888 0121
Email: gercheung@deloitte.com.cn

Eastern China
Kevin Zhu
Director
Tel: +86 21 6141 1262
Fax: +86 21 6335 0003
Email: kzhu@deloitte.com.cn

If you prefer to receive future issues by soft copy or update us with your new correspondence details, please notify Wandy Luk by either email at wanluk@deloitte.com.hk or by fax to +852 2541 1911.