Deloitte.



Australia's first climate standards: no more waiting

With the release of Australia's climate exposure draft, entities now have a clearer picture of what to prepare for reporting from 1 July 2024

On 23 October 2023, the Australian Accounting Standards Board (AASB) released **Exposure Draft ED SR1** Australian Sustainability Reporting Standards – Disclosure of Climate-related Financial Information (Climate ED). In this publication, **we outline the key differences between the Australian proposals and their international equivalents** on which they were based. In summary:

- The Climate ED contains three proposed Australian Sustainability Reporting Standards (ASRSs); ASRS 1 *General Requirements for Disclosure of Climate-related Financial Information*, ASRS 2 *Climate-related Financial Disclosures* and ASRS 101 *References in Australian Sustainability Reporting Standards*
- The proposed ASRSs are based on the International Sustainability Standards Board's (ISSB) IFRS S1 and IFRS S2 with Australian amendments to focus on climate first, apply an Australian contextual lens, meet Federal government policy and make the standards sector neutral
- The AASB is proposing the standards would apply to financial reporting periods commencing 1 July 2024. Scoping and timing will however be determined by the Federal Government
- The Climate ED is open for feedback and comment until 1 March 2024. We encourage you to provide feedback to the AASB.

"We applaud the efforts of the AASB in drafting Australia's first climate reporting standards which we believe will drive more transparency for shareholders. While based on the ISSB standards, we recognise that they contain proposed changes for the Australian context"

Joanne Gorton Managing Partner, Audit & Assurance



What's happening

The recently released AASB Exposure Draft ED SR1 *Australian Sustainability Reporting Standards – Disclosure of Climate-related Financial Information* (Climate ED) outlines the proposed implementation of climate-related financial disclosure in Australia in the context of the proposed Federal Government policy and previous feedback from Commonwealth Treasury consultations.

The Climate ED proposes three Australian Sustainability Reporting Standards (ASRSs):



ASRS 1 General Requirements for Disclosure of Climate-related Financial Information, which is based on IFRS S1 General Requirements for Disclosure of Sustainability-related Financial Information. Consistent with previous AASB decisions and Government policy, this proposed standard has been "climatised" to only apply to climate-related financial disclosures only



ASRS 2 *Climate-related Financial Disclosures*, which is based on IFRS S2 *Climate-related Disclosures*. The scope of this proposed standard is limited to climate-related risks and opportunities related to climate change and does not include other climate-related emissions that are not greenhouse gas (GHG) emissions



ASRS 101 References in Australian Sustainability Reporting Standards,

which is proposed to operate as a 'service standard' to give legal effect to references to various other documents such as the GHG Protocol and Australian and New Zealand Standard Industrial Classification (ANZSIC) (in a similar way to how AASB 1048 *Interpretation of Standards* operates for Australian Accounting Standards).

Australian focused standards

As an overview, the following themes are evident in the Climate ED:



Focus on climate first

- The scope of the Climate ED is limited to climate-related financial disclosures only, unlike IFRS S1 which more broadly addresses sustainability-related financial disclosures. The wording in IFRS S1 has been "climatised" to achieve this outcome
- As a result, a significant proportion of disclosures would be identical between ASRS 1 and ASRS 2 (particularly across the governance, strategy and risk management sections) and so the proposals delete the duplicate requirements in ASRS 2 and rather cross-reference to those disclosures in ASRS 1. This means that entities will need to reference both ASRS 1 and ASRS 2 to determine the disclosures required
- It is likely that entities complying only with the requirements of ASRSs will not be able to make a statement of compliance with ISSB Standards.



Australian focus

 The Climate ED prioritises certain Australian requirements over international requirements. For instance, *National Greenhouse and Energy Reporting Act 2007* (NGER) legislation methodologies should be prioritised for the calculation of scope 1 and 2 greenhouse gas (GHG) emissions instead of the GHG Protocol



In addition to "climatising" the international IFRS S1 standard, duplicate requirements of ASRS 1 have been removed from ASRS 2

Entities should be aware that they will need to reference both standards to determine the required disclosures



Australian focus (continued)

- Further, if entities elect to disclose industry-specific metrics under ASRS 2, the entity should consider well-established and understood metrics associated with particular business models, activities or other features that characterise participation in the same industry grouping, as classified according to ANZSIC¹ classifications rather than the Sustainability Accounting Standards Board (SASB) industry classifications contained in the industry-based guidance of the ISSB standards. Further, all references to the SASB standards have been removed from the Climate ED
- As certain Australian carbon credit units (ACCUs) are not "uniquely serialised" under the Australian Carbon Credit Unit Scheme, the AASB has modified the definition of "carbon credit" to include credits under the scheme.





Meeting Federal Government policy

- Consistent with Treasury's consultations on climate reporting, the Climate ED requires climate resilience assessments against at least two relevant possible future states, one of which must be consistent with the most ambitious global temperature goal set out in the Climate Change Act 2022 (i.e. 1.5°C above pre-industrial levels)
- Further, it proposes the disclosure of market-based² scope 2 greenhouse gas emissions in addition to its location-based emissions (with transitional relief for the first three reporting periods)
- The AASB however decided not to include a requirement for a
 detailed index table suggested by Treasury's recent consultation,
 instead including a more general requirement to apply judgement in
 providing information in a manner that enables users to locate its
 climate-related financial disclosures.



Sector neutrality

 The Climate ED contains additional guidance and provisions to make IFRS S1 and IFRS S2 operative for not-for-profit entities and seeks feedback from those entities on various proposals.

Commonwealth Treasury proposals

Notably, the Climate ED has been released before any further announcement from Treasury, or feedback on Treasury's most **recent consultation** (from June 2023). These proposals are summarised in our **Clarity publication**. The Basis of Conclusions provides some insight into the way forward for implementation, noting "Treasury staff informed AASB staff that the Australian Government is planning to publish a policy announcement after October 2023" providing more information about proposed legislative changes and policy settings for climate-related financial disclosure.

Critically, the Basis of Conclusions also notes that the "policy announcement may supersede some elements of prior Government announcements", which foreshadows the possibility that the scope or timing of mandatory climate-related financial disclosures may change from the recent consultation. Consequently, the Climate ED notes that its proposals are similarly subject to change based on the anticipated Australian government policy announcement, exposure draft legislation and final amendments to the legislation.

¹ The Australian Bureau of Statistics' <u>Australian and New Zealand Standard Industrial Classification (ANZSIC)</u>

² The disclosure of market-based scope 2 emissions is only proposed to apply to entities that are required to prepare climate-related financial disclosures by the *Corporations Act 2001* (and so would not apply to other entities that are required to, or choose to, comply with ASRS 2). The Climate ED proposes that for the first three annual reporting periods in which an entity applies ASRS 2, the entity would not be required to disclose market-based scope 2 GHG emissions (similarly, comparative information related to those periods would not be required).



Comparison to global standards

The Climate ED contains tables outlining the differences between the proposed ASRS 1 and ASRS 2 and IFRS S1 and IFRS S2, which are summarised in the tables below.

Differences between IFRS S1 and proposed ASRS 1

IFRS S1	Proposed ASRS 1	Rationale for modification
Application		
Terminology focused on the for-profit	Includes terminology applicable to not-for-	Sector neutrality
sector	profit entities	
Scope		
Applies to sustainability-related financial	Applies only to climate-related financial	Consistent with Government policy to
information	information	focus on "climate first"
No explicit requirement to make	Contains explicit disclosures where the entity	Considered to be useful information for
disclosures where the entity determines it	determines it has <i>no</i> material climate-related	users
has <i>no</i> material climate-related risks and	risks and opportunities	
opportunities		
Sources of guidance		
In identifying relevant sources of guidance	Where an entity elects to provide industry-	The AASB decided not to include
to refer to in the absence of an applicable	based disclosures, the entity considers "well	references to the SASB Standards or
IFRS Sustainability Disclosure Standard,	established and understood metrics" in the	industry-based guidance accompanying
requires the application of SASB Standards.	same industry (classified in accordance with	IFRS S2 until the content has been
	ANZSIC)	comprehensively internationalised by the
		ISSB and undergone AASB due process
Location of disclosures		
Requires identification of the report within	Information must be provided in a manner	To make it easier for users to locate
which climate-related financial information	that enables users to locate its disclosures in	climate-related financial disclosures
is located	accordance with ASRS Standards	
Timing of reporting		
Gives an example of an entity preparing	Specifies that entities should use the same	To avoid confusion by stakeholders
climate-related financial information for a	reporting period for climate-related financial	
period other than 12 months and	information and financial statements and	
addresses interim reporting	does not address interim reporting	

Differences between IFRS S2 and proposed ASRS 2

IFRS S2	Proposed ASRS 2	Rationale for modification		
Interaction with other pronouncements				
Includes requirements related to general disclosures on governance, strategy and risk management identical to the requirements in IFRS S1	Cross referenced to ASRS S1 rather than duplicating the requirements	To avoid duplication		
Application				
Terminology focused on the for-profit sector	Terminology focused on the not-for-profit sector	Sector neutrality		
Scope				
Applies to climate-related risks and opportunities	Applies to climate-related risks and opportunities in relation to climate change only	To clarify that ASRS 2 does not apply to climate-related emissions other than GHG emissions. The Climate ED specifically notes that ASRS 2 does not replace any existing legislative requirements related to other sustainability related topics (e.g. water and biodiversity)		



Differences between IFRS S2 and proposed ASRS 2 (continued)

IFRS S2	Proposed ASRS 2	Rationale for modification
Climate resilience		
Requires climate-related scenario analysis to assess climate resilience commensurate with the entity's circumstances	Requires resilience assessments against at least two possible future states, one of which must be consistent with the most ambitious global temperature goal set in the <i>Climate Change Act 2022</i> (i.e. 1.5°C above pre-industrial levels) ³	Specifying the minimum number of scenarios and the lower-temperature scenario to assess against to ensure comparability across entities
GHG emissions		
Requires conversion of GHGs into a CO ₂ equivalent value based on the latest Intergovernmental Panel on Climate Change (IPCC) assessment guidance (i.e. the 6 th IPCC assessment report)	Requires conversion of GHG's into a CO ₂ equivalent value using the same IPCC assessment report referred to in the National Greenhouse and Energy Reporting Act 2007 and associated regulations (NGER) and the Paris Agreement (i.e. the 5 th IPCC assessment report)	Avoids regulatory burdens for Australian entities that would be required to use Global Warming Potential (GWP) values based on the IPCC 5 th assessment report under the NGER scheme legislation
Permits the use of a method other than the GHG Protocol when required to do so by a jurisdictional authority or an exchange on which the entity is listed	Requires the prioritisation of relevant methodologies under NGER before referring to other GHG measurement methods	To align with the proposals in Treasury's second consultation
Requires the disclosure of location-based scope 2 GHG emissions	Requires the disclosure of market-based scope 2 GHG emissions in addition to location-based measures ⁴	To align with the proposals in Treasury's second consultation
When certain conditions are met, permits the measurement of GHG emissions using information from periods that are different from its own reporting period	Scope 3 GHG emissions can be measured using data for the immediately preceding period where information is not available without undue cost or effort	To align with the proposals in Treasury's second consultation
Requires an entity to disclose the sources of its scope 3 emissions under the 15 categories taken from the GHG Protocol	Includes the 15 categories as examples that an entity could consider when disclosing sources of its scope 3 emissions (rather than requiring disclosure in accordance with the 15 categories)	The 15 categories are not referenced in the IPCC guidelines or the Paris Agreement and concerns about international alignment
Requires entities participating in asset management, commercial banking or insurance activities to make additional disclosures of its financed emissions in accordance with the GHG Protocol	Requires those entities to consider the applicability of those additional disclosures related to its financed emissions	To support sector neutrality and align the financed emissions paragraphs with the GHG Protocol regarding measurement of GHG emissions
Industry-based metrics		
In identifying industry-based metrics to disclose, requires an entity to apply the SASB Standards and consider industry-based metrics adapted from the SASB Standards	Requires an entity electing to make industry-based disclosures to consider industry-based metrics disclosed by entities operating in the same industry (as classified using ANZSIC)	The AASB decided not include the industry- based guidance accompanying IFRS S2 until the content has been comprehensively internationalised by the ISSB and undergone AASB due process

05

³ The requirement for resilience assessment against at least two possible future states would only apply to entities required to prepare climate-related financial disclosures by the *Corporations Act 2001* (and so would not apply to other entities that are required to, or choose to, comply with ASRS 2).

⁴ The disclosure of market-based scope 2 emissions is only proposed to apply to entities that are required to prepare climate-related financial disclosures by the *Corporations Act 2001* (and so would not apply to other entities that are required to, or choose to, comply with ASRS 2). The Climate ED proposes that for the first three annual reporting periods in which an entity applies ASRS 2, the entity would not be required to disclose market-based scope 2 GHG emissions (similarly, comparative information related to those periods would not be required).



Next steps

Treasury is yet to release its next position paper which is expected to clarify the scope and timing of the ASRSs, in other words – who is caught by the standards and from when.

The Climate ED is **open for comment** until 1 March 2024. The AASB is requesting feedback on all the proposals outlined in this publication, including for example the approach that has been taken to the "climatisation" of IFRS S1. There are also some more direct requests for feedback that may impact specific sectors, e.g. the application of the proposals to entities in the superannuation sector, as well as not-for-profit and public sector entities.

Get involved by:

- Submitting a comment letter on the **AASB website**
- Completing an online survey, or
- Attending a roundtable discussion.

Depending on feedback received and any changes as a result of anticipated Treasury policy announcements, the AASB may publish another exposure draft or fatal flaw draft to enable further consultation as it moves toward finalisation of the proposed ASRSs in mid-2024.

In the meantime, entities should familiarise themselves with the content in the Climate ED and prepare for imminent adoption now. This, amongst other things, typically starts with a gap assessment to identify gaps between current and required reporting. **Talk to us – reach out to your Deloitte contact to support you in this journey.**



Key resources

Publications



- Our **Clarity publication** Action now for mandatory climate reporting in Australia
- Co-authored publication by Deloitte, MinterEllison and the AICD
 A director's guide to mandatory climate reporting



Australian Accounting Standards Board Exposure Draft

• **Exposure Draft ED SR1** Australian Sustainability Reporting Standards – Disclosure of Climate-related Financial Information



IFRS® Sustainability Disclosure Standards

- IFRS S1 General Requirements for Disclosure of Sustainability-related Financial Information
- IFRS S2 Climate-related Disclosures

Deloitte refers to one or more of Deloitte Touche Tohmatsu Limited ("DTTL"), its global network of member firms, and their related entities (collectively, the "Deloitte organisation"). DTTL (also referred to as "Deloitte Global") and each of its member firms and related entities are legally separate and independent entities, which cannot obligate or bind each other in respect of third parties. DTTL and each DTTL member firm and related entity is liable only for its own acts and omissions, and not those of each other. DTTL does not provide services to clients. Please see www.deloitte.com/about to learn more.

Deloitte is a leading global provider of audit and assurance, consulting, financial advisory, risk advisory, tax and related services. Our global network of member firms and related entities in more than 150 countries and territories (collectively, the "Deloitte organisation") serves four out of five Fortune Global 500® companies. Learn how Deloitte's approximately 415,000 people make an impact that matters at www.deloitte.com.

Deloitte Asia Pacific

Deloitte Asia Pacific Limited is a company limited by guarantee and a member firm of DTTL. Members of Deloitte Asia Pacific Limited and their related entities, each of which are separate and independent legal entities, provide services from more than 100 cities across the region, including Auckland, Bangkok, Beijing, Bengaluru, Hanoi, Hong Kong, Jakarta, Kuala Lumpur, Manila, Melbourne, Mumbai, New Delhi, Osaka, Seoul, Shanghai, Singapore, Sydney, Taipei and Tokyo.

Deloitte Australia

The Australian partnership of Deloitte Touche Tohmatsu is a member of Deloitte Asia Pacific Limited and the Deloitte organisation. As one of Australia's leading professional services firms, Deloitte Touche Tohmatsu and its affiliates provide audit, tax, consulting, risk advisory, and financial advisory services through approximately 14,000 people across the country. Focused on the creation of value and growth, and known as an employer of choice for innovative human resources programs, we are dedicated to helping our clients and our people excel. For more information, please visit our web site at https://www2.deloitte.com/au/en.html.

Liability limited by a scheme approved under Professional Standards Legislation.

Member of Deloitte Asia Pacific Limited and the Deloitte organisation.

This publication contains general information only.

© 2023 Deloitte Touche Tohmatsu.

Authors

Frank Betkowski Jonathan Streng Matthew Shaw Taewan Kim

Contacts

Jonathan Streng

Director

jstreng@deloitte.com.au

David Rodgers

Partner

drodgers@deloitte.com.au

Jacquie Fegent-McGeachie

Partner

jfegent-

mcgeachie@deloitte.com.au

Paul Dobson

Partner

padobson@deloitte.com.au

Chi Woo

Partner

chimunwoo@deloitte.com.au

Alison White

Partner

aliswhite@deloitte.com.au

Anna Crawford

Partner

acrawford@deloitte.com.au

Moana Overton

Partner

moverton@deloitte.com.au

Carol Warden

Partner

cwarden@deloitte.com.au