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The UK hydrogen market is developing at pace. The national Hydrogen and Net Zero Strategies were published in August and October 2021, respectively. The announcement of the two industrial clusters that will enjoy government support soon followed.

Consortia and individual companies are progressing with engineering studies and putting plans in place to build the UK’s hydrogen supply infrastructure, while waiting for the details of support mechanisms that will underpin their financial decisions. Increased quantities of hydrogen will be available for use as a low-carbon energy source from the second half of this decade.

It is therefore time for industrial products companies to explore market opportunities and ask:

- What hydrogen-ready equipment and services will be needed and when?
- Will we be ready to supply such products when demand arises in the future?
- What if the market develops faster than we expect?

This paper focuses on opportunities for industrial products companies in the industrial heat and power, and the power generation sectors.

Considerable demand could arise for hydrogen-ready industrial equipment over the next two decades. Most industrial facilities produce their own heat and power on site from fossil fuels, which need to be replaced by low-carbon sources over time. In many cases, hydrogen will be one of the few options to decarbonise operations.

This, in turn, will open up the need for hydrogen-ready equipment, including hydrogen combined heat and power (CHP) units, boilers and hybrid boilers, stationary fuel cells, as well as accessories (including sensors, controls and burners) and services.

Our analysis identified 274 industrial sites within 50 miles of planned hydrogen generation sites that could attract investment in hydrogen-ready technologies. Most of the identified sites are hard-to-abate industrial facilities while others are CHP unit locations where data is available.

The real market could be much larger as publicly available information on CHPs only covers a small fraction of UK industrial energy consumption. Many of these facilities are located closely together and could share hydrogen supply infrastructure to reduce the cost of investment.

In power generation, five existing gas plants accounting for 5.6 GW of generation capacity have greater potential to be upgraded with hydrogen-ready technology. This in turn would bring opportunities for industrial products companies to supply hydrogen-ready turbines as well as accessories and services. Upgrades will also require other types of equipment, including pipes, tanks and valves. Providing fuel cells as back-up power generators for the grid and critical assets is another potential market, albeit not explored here.

Many businesses will look at a range of factors before deciding to invest in hydrogen-ready equipment. At the same time, pressure is growing on industrial companies to reduce their emissions. Hydrogen, as none of the decarbonisation options seems cheap or simple, could play a substantial role in fuel switching.

Industrial products companies need to be ready to meet demand for hydrogen-ready equipment by developing new products and offerings, while reducing the cost and improving the efficiency of existing products.
Energy transition is a huge challenge that should provide substantial opportunities for industrial products companies. The UK net zero commitment requires a step change in how the country will produce, transport and consume energy in the future.

Energy efficiency and low-carbon fuels will not only underpin this change, they will also require today’s fossil-fuel based equipment to be upgraded or replaced by more efficient and greener technologies. Although difficult to estimate, the total opportunity value for equipment and services could be worth several hundred billion pounds over a number of decades.

While the UK Net Zero Strategy’s three scenarios give us a glimpse of the possible pathways for meeting the 2050 target, they all view hydrogen as a key contributor to the UK energy mix by the middle of the century. Why hydrogen? The 2019 net zero commitment extended the emission reduction imperative to sectors where decarbonisation is particularly difficult, such as refining and chemicals, high temperature industrial heat in iron and steel production, cement and glass manufacturing as well as heavy-duty transport. Electrification or the use of alternative fuel sources in these sectors are challenging and hydrogen is one of the few viable options.

Hydrogen can also play a role in UK power generation as a form of long-term storage for renewable electricity, thus providing extra stability and security for the electricity grid. While electrification is preferred in other settings such as domestic heat and various transport segments, hydrogen may gain support over time in these sectors as well.

Hydrogen is already being used in some sectors in the UK. However, the vast majority of its production is carbon intensive and nearly all of it is used as feedstock in the refining and chemicals sector. The first projects are likely to replace carbon intensive hydrogen with low-carbon hydrogen from 2025. However, more hydrogen will be available for use as an energy source for industrial heat and power generation or transport as generation facilities come online and supply grows more steadily from 2028 and then again from 2035.

However, in most cases hydrogen cannot simply replace fossil fuels without some, or, in many cases, substantial modification to equipment. While many power stations, CHP units, kilns and furnaces will be able to take a certain percentage of hydrogen in their gas blend, they will need accessories to regulate inflow of hydrogen due to its chemical differences from natural gas. Others will need new hydrogen-ready equipment. These increasing needs are expected to offer opportunities for equipment providers.
The Net Zero Strategy requires industry emissions to fall 63 to 76 per cent by 2035 compared to 2019 levels. This would be achieved through measures including:

- **Industrial fuel switching** – replacing around 50 TWh of fossil fuels with low-carbon alternatives.

- **Efficiency savings** – reducing emissions by 11 MtCO₂ by 2035 (including up to 3 MtCO₂ in the iron and steel sector).

- **Carbon capture and storage** – capturing and storing 6 MtCO₂ by 2030 and 9 MtCO₂ by 2035.

Direct fossil fuel use accounted for approximately 143 TWh of industrial energy consumption in 2019, making up more than half of industrial energy use that year. Typically, natural gas, petroleum, coal, coke and coke oven gas are used to provide high and low temperature process heat, space heat, energy for drying and separation, as well as driving motors for various industrial processes. Switching 50 TWh of fossil fuels, nearly a third of total fossil fuel demand in 2019, to low-carbon sources by 2035 will be a challenge.

Emitting carbon dioxide (CO₂) is also becoming a substantial expense for carbon intensive businesses. The UK Emission Trading Scheme (ETS) Futures price, which started trading in May 2021, has been higher than the EU ETS on most trading days. The EU ETS continued to break records and remained high throughout 2021. With permits being in short supply and a lack of willingness to link the UK ETS to the EU system, carbon prices are expected to stay strong in the foreseeable future.

Companies will have a choice when deciding which greener energy source will replace fossil fuels in their operations. Electrification is likely to be the top choice for many low temperature processes in the food, drink and tobacco, printing and textile manufacturing sectors. But owners of natural gas-fuelled CHP units in these industries and in others, including refining and the chemicals sector, vehicle manufacturing and electrical engineering, may find electrifying heat a complex challenge. For them, switching fully to hydrogen or as part of a fuel blend for greener heat and power may appear a simpler solution. Choosing hydrogen over other energy sources will depend on a range of factors, which will be examined later. Figure 1 explains the potential for hydrogen use by prime mover technology type.

### Figure 1. Potential for hydrogen fuel use and market for industrial products companies

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Prime mover technology</th>
<th>Potential for hydrogen fuel use</th>
<th>Market for industrial products companies</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Reciprocating engine</td>
<td>Hydrogen use is not recommended because of the engine’s inherent inefficiencies combined with that of hydrogen. Additional investment also needed to reduce NOx emissions.</td>
<td>High likelihood that these need to be replaced with alternative sources of power and heat – such as heat pumps or stationary fuel cells – over time to reduce emissions.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Combined cycle and open cycle gas turbines</td>
<td>Most models can run on up to 20 per cent hydrogen/natural gas blend.</td>
<td>Potential to replace these with hydrogen-fuelled turbines when the proportion of hydrogen in the gas supply exceeds 20 per cent.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Back pressure and pass out condensing steam turbines</td>
<td>Steam turbines can run on any fossil fuel and hydrogen blend, but are relatively inefficient.</td>
<td>There is no technical need to replace these as they can already run on hydrogen, but fuel cells provide significantly higher overall efficiency.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

*Source: Deloitte analysis*
Today most natural gas CHP units (where data is available) receive their fuel through gas pipelines. A few small CCGTs/open cycle gas turbines (OCGTs) are not on the gas grid and another small number run on coal and fuel oil. Were CHP units on the gas grid to choose hydrogen as an energy source, they would need constant access to the fuel. For gas turbines and CCGTs/OCGTs, this would work up to the turbine’s technical limit as gas pipelines are able to accommodate a blend.

However, when there are high levels of hydrogen in the blend or 100 per cent hydrogen supply, dedicated pipelines would likely be needed, unless the grid is fully converted. Distance from a hydrogen production facility could be an important consideration for facility owners to reduce the level of investment needed. Facilities that run on coal and fuel oil and off-grid units are used to regular fuel deliveries, although transporting hydrogen by road and storing it on site will likely be costlier than natural gas or fuel oil.

Our analysis of the data published as part of the CHP Quality Assurance Scheme (QAS) shows that over half of CHP units, 117 out 210, are within 50 miles of planned hydrogen generation facilities. However, the real number could be significantly higher because the data published by the CHP QAS only accounts for a small fraction of industrial energy use.

Many of the CHP facilities are clustered relatively close together around industrial areas and could benefit from sharing dedicated hydrogen pipelines. Another nine off-grid units are more than 50 miles from hydrogen production facilities.

Opportunities for industrial products companies could include the provision of hydrogen-ready boilers, steam boilers, CHP systems, stationary fuel cells, industrial equipment and hybrid boilers (for example air source heat pumps with hydrogen). Installing such equipment will also require a range of other products, including pipes and valves.

In sectors that use high-temperature heat, such as cement, lime and glass manufacturing, iron and steel, as well as some parts of the chemical and mechanical engineering industries, electrification will be a very expensive and/or technically complex task. Using hydrogen as part of a blend of gases or on its own in blast furnaces, kilns or in direct reduction of iron for electric arc furnaces, will be one of the few decarbonisation options. To be able to use hydrogen, upgrades or hydrogen-ready furnaces and kilns will be needed along with a range of accessories including sensors, controls and burners.

Again, physical access to hydrogen will be an important consideration, which adds to capital investment. For facilities that take natural gas directly from the grid, a dedicated hydrogen pipeline is the most cost-effective option, while for furnaces that use coal and other fuels, investment in transport and on-site hydrogen storage will be needed.

As part of our research, we mapped out 216 facilities that fall into the hard-to-abate industry sectors. These are the iron and steel, cement and glass manufacturing sectors, chemical facilities and refineries.

Our analysis shows that 148 out of these 216 sites are located within a 50-mile radius of planned hydrogen generation facilities.
To meet the 2050 target, the Net Zero Strategy requires all power generation to come from low-carbon sources by 2035, subject to security of supply.

As electricity consumption is expected to increase considerably by 2050, the volume of renewables and their proportion in UK power generation will rise significantly. Intermittency of renewable energy may lead to grid stability issues: at times demand will exceed power supply, at others more power will be going into the system than the network can accommodate.

On these occasions excess renewable electricity can be stored in the form of hydrogen, that later can be blended with natural gas and used in gas-fired power stations. It can also be burned on its own by hydrogen-ready gas turbines to provide system flexibility.

According to the Future of Energy Scenarios 2021, between 3 GW and 7 GW of hydrogen-based power generation capacity will be needed by 2035. While plans for at least one, 100 per cent hydrogen-fuelled power station have been announced, some of the required capacity could come from upgrading existing fossil fuel power plants.

Younger power plants and those located close to planned hydrogen production facilities are more likely to attract the investment needed for upgrades. These are power plants that:

• will be 25 years old or less in 2030, retaining much of their economic value at the time of the upgrade

• are located within a 50-mile radius of planned hydrogen production facilities. This would reduce the investment needed to transport hydrogen from the production facility to the power plant.

Currently, the UK has 76 fossil fuel power plants accounting for 38.5 GW of combined capacity. Many of these plants can already handle a blend of hydrogen and natural gas up to the turbine’s technical limit. For most turbines these limits are somewhere between 3 to 5 per cent, while for others it is up to 30 per cent or higher. Burning a blend of hydrogen up to the turbine’s technical limit would help reduce the carbon intensity of electricity when natural gas is used for flexible power generation. Blended hydrogen would likely be delivered through the existing natural gas pipeline, which, according to the HyDeploy project, can accommodate up to a 20 per cent blend.

However, an existing power plant will need a technical upgrade to be able to burn concentrations of hydrogen higher than the gas turbine’s current limit. To run on 100 per cent hydrogen, the plant will need a new hydrogen-ready turbine. In addition, dedicated hydrogen pipeline(s) would likely need to be built to transport hydrogen to the plant, adding to the cost of the upgrade.

Of the 76 existing plants, five power stations with 5.6 GW combined capacity will be less than 25 years old in 2030 and within a 50-mile radius of a planned hydrogen plant. Providing upgrades and turbines for these power plants could be potential opportunities for industrial companies. A further 30 plants that will be older than 25 years old in 2030 are also within a 50-mile range that could be considered for investment in hydrogen technology. In addition, 11 of the 17 natural gas plants that are being planned or have already received development consent as part of the Nationally Significant Infrastructure Projects in England and Wales will also be located within 50 miles of planned hydrogen projects. At least four of these 11 plants are planned in close vicinity of existing plants.
Additional opportunities include the provision of stationary fuel cells for the grid or critical facilities (such as hospitals or telecom masts) where diesel generators are currently used. However, these opportunities are outside of the scope of this paper.

As part of our analysis, a total of 279 sites – CHP units, hard-to-abate industry facilities and power plants – have been identified within a 50-mile range of proposed hydrogen generation plants.

As data is not available for the full list of CHP units across the country, the real figure could be significantly higher.

Figure 2 shows the location of these sites. Because of their location, many of these facilities could consider choosing hydrogen to replace fossil fuels in their operation, and potentially create a market for hydrogen-ready equipment.

Figure 2. Fossil fuel power plants, CHP schemes and hard-to-abate industry sites within a 50-mile radius of planned hydrogen generation facilities
Industrial companies will look at a range of factors before they choose the next generation of technology and fuel source to provide low-carbon process heat and power for their operations. After energy efficiency measures have been exhausted, many industrial customers will look to electrify thermal processes. Others will consider biofuels and hydrogen as well as hybrid fuel sources (electrification with some low-carbon fuel source such as biofuels and hydrogen).

Some companies may also investigate carbon capture and storage (CCS), although it will be only attainable for large, carbon intensive operations with access to CO₂ storage. Figure 3 highlights a number of factors that most customers will consider before choosing hydrogen as a fuel source.

Anticipating and managing some of these factors could make hydrogen-ready technology more attractive for customers.

### Figure 3. Factors for consideration when choosing hydrogen as a fuel source

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Factors</th>
<th>Considerations/Questions</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Fuel and technology cost</td>
<td>• What are the projected costs of hydrogen fuel and new technology?</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>• Are there any additional switching costs?</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Risk</td>
<td>• What is the customer’s appetite for regulatory, technology, cost and other risks?</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>• Are there any safety concerns?</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Switching considerations</td>
<td>• How easy is it to switch technology?</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>• Will technology be readily available at the time of switching?</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Timing</td>
<td>• Where is the customer’s asset in its replacement cycle?</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>• Will hydrogen-ready models/fuel be ready by the time the customer needs to switch?</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Location</td>
<td>• Is there ready access to fuel supply infrastructure?</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>• What would be the cost implications of building own-supply infrastructure?</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Security of supply</td>
<td>• Will there be access to sufficient and uninterrupted fuel supply in the future?</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>• What happens in case of supply disruptions</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

*Source: Deloitte analysis*
What if the market moves faster than expected?

Examples from Europe and South America show that the global hydrogen market is growing faster than expected. This is because of robust policy support and strong interest in hydrogen fuel from off-takers.

In the UK, the speed of developments will be determined by the successes of infrastructure projects, support mechanisms, regulatory developments on the supply side and customer preferences, based on factors described in Figure 3, on the demand side.

Today, a handful of global power equipment manufacturers and a small number of niche companies make hydrogen-ready turbines, CHPs and back-up generators. In addition, research is progressing on solving technical issues and increasing the size of units.

The market for hydrogen equipment is expected to grow slowly throughout the 2020s as hydrogen infrastructure gradually builds. Most early projects will supply low-carbon hydrogen to chemical plants and refineries to replace carbon intensive hydrogen. As generation projects get underway and their outputs increase, demand for hydrogen-ready equipment, associated products and services may grow faster as 2030 approaches. When the market picks up, it will need more equipment models that are cost-effective and efficient.

But what happens if demand for equipment grows faster than expected – perhaps because of a surge in international hydrogen fuel supplies? Manufacturers will need to respond rapidly while also tackling technical, cost, supply chain and regulatory issues at the same time.

Companies planning to enter or expand in this market have no time to lose. Lead times for developing new products and offerings, improving efficiency or reducing the cost of existing products can be lengthy, so too can putting the financial, infrastructure and supply chain structures in place. Product development also requires a considerable commitment of engineering capacity. Companies that fail to act now may miss out on opportunities and market share in the future.
Next steps

Companies that are just getting started or planning to increase investment in hydrogen should consider the following questions:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Strategy</th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>• Have you got a clear focus and understanding of your target market/markets?</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>• Are you going to grow organically or buy/partner/invest?</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>• Can you anticipate and manage some of the factors included in Figure 3?</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>• Have you explored potential uses of your products in a wider range of segments and applications?</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Strategy delivery</th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>• How are you building a strong business model?</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>• Are you considering innovative business models – such as ‘hydrogen product as a service’?</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>• If you are developing or planning to develop new products, have you explored net zero-related grants, tax incentives, capital allowances and Patent Box for R&amp;D?</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Financial</th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>• How are you going to ensure you have the right capabilities and skills to develop and deliver your products?</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Skills and capabilities</th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>• What can you do to future-proof your products?</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Technology</th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>• Are you exploring opportunities to co-operate, collaborate or partner with other companies, research institutes and trade associations?</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
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