

Committed to compliance
Preparing for Pillar Two

2024

Introduction

The pursuit to end the world's "race to the bottom" in corporate tax rates, as US Treasury Secretary Janet Yellen described it in 2021, is underway. Pillar Two, also known as the Global Anti-Base Erosion (GloBE) proposal, is a significant development in international tax reform, aiming to level the playing field between countries by discouraging them from reducing their corporate income taxes to attract foreign business investment. Essentially, it introduces a global minimum tax rate (15%) to encourage multinational enterprises to pay an equitable portion of taxes. As countries

around the world work toward implementing Pillar Two, it is crucial for businesses to understand its implications and adapt their strategies accordingly.

To gauge the current level of awareness of the potential impact of Pillar Two, and to understand how companies are adapting technology, process, and talent models to respond, Deloitte conducted research among companies around the world who are subject to this new set of rules.

Key findings



1

Companies are incurring costs to comply with Pillar Two

Seventy percent of respondents expected to spend US\$500,000 or more, with 25% expecting upward of US\$1 million to manage the compliance and reporting aspects of Pillar Two. Taking into consideration the impact the new requirements will likely have on overall profitability, and the expected increases in tax liabilities, companies are considering technology, pursuing cost efficiency through adjusting their resourcing models, or increasing overall budgets to address these costs.

2

Strategy considerations are evolving

Pillar Two is not just a tax matter, but is expected to materially influence corporate strategy, especially in areas such as restructuring, M&A, site selection, and other key operational and investment decisions.

3

Companies are re-evaluating talent and resource models for a new compliance context

Pillar Two challenges underscore the need for businesses to address issues proactively through careful financial planning, robust compliance frameworks, and investment in expertise and resources.

Talent gaps are evident as companies grapple with how to interpret and meet the complex data collection and reporting mandates in a timely manner. Technical tax skills have been identified as the greatest talent gap, followed by accounting for income tax.

In lieu of finding appropriate in-house options in time to meet their Pillar Two data gap assessment and remediation needs, companies are evaluating the appropriate mix of insourcing, co-sourcing, and outsourcing.

01 ► Companies are incurring costs to comply with Pillar Two


Businesses are bracing themselves for the impact of Pillar Two. With significant amounts expected to be spent on compliance and reporting, it's clear that organizations are taking compliance seriously.

Spending on compliance and reporting


Seventy percent of respondents anticipated spending over US\$500,000 on compliance (25% thought the costs may exceed US\$1 million). To manage these costs, respondents indicated they plan to implement technology (66%), increase their tax budgets (63%), and optimize cost efficiency through a strategic mix of insourced, co-sourced, and outsourced work (60%) (Figure 1). Tax leaders can rest assured that Finance is supportive—76% of CFOs surveyed favored technology solutions to help, and 57% said they intend to increase the tax budget.

Figure 1. Anticipated annual spending for Pillar Two compliance and reporting and ways of addressing cost

Anticipated spend



Addressing the increased cost



Preparing to pay more tax

Deloitte's survey targeted companies operating in five or more countries—there would therefore be a wide mix of countries with operations, some in lower-tax jurisdictions, and some already paying more than 15% tax. The mix of responses to the question on expected changes in tax after the enactment of Pillar Two is therefore not surprising: Overall, 53% of respondents anticipated their business will incur *higher* taxes, 11% expected a *decrease*, and 36% believed their tax payments will *remain unchanged* (Figure 2).

Regionally, Latin American companies were more optimistic, with 20% predicting a *decrease* in taxes, compared with 60% of North American companies and 47% of European companies anticipating *increases*. Estimates from the OECD suggest Pillar Two could raise corporate taxes globally by US\$220 billion per year—about 9% of global corporate income tax revenues. However, even if a company's operations are confined to the higher-tax countries, Pillar Two will still require them to document that they're paying over 15% wherever they operate, using either the temporary safe harbor or the complex new rules.

Figure 2: Expected change in tax after enactment by region



02 ► Strategy considerations are evolving


Pillar Two introduces significant complexity and uncertainty that is poised to disrupt business operations extensively. It is anticipated to materially influence corporate strategy, especially in areas such as restructuring, M&A, site selection, and other key operational and investment decisions.

Evolving corporate strategy

Ninety-three percent of survey respondents indicated Pillar Two is either *very likely* or *moderately likely* to affect their organization's strategy (Figure 3). This underscores the significant anticipated influence of Pillar Two on corporate strategies globally.

One interviewee from the qualitative research observed Pillar Two will likely diminish the well-established advantages of building a firm's intellectual property in lower-tax countries. This reflects the broader implications for global business practices under the new tax framework.


Figure 3: Likelihood of Pillar Two enactment to affect organizational strategy (corporate restructuring, M&A, site selection, and other operational/investment decisions)



Evolving finance enhancements and reporting obligations

About 69% of respondents predicted *significant disruption* to future finance enhancements and reporting obligations due to Pillar Two (Figure 4). Notably, those within the Financial Services sector (28%) and companies generating over US\$10 billion in revenue (27%) were more inclined to foresee a *high degree of disruption*. This perception was consistent across Europe and North America. Conversely, a majority in Latin America, at 13%, viewed Pillar Two as *minimally disruptive*.

Figure 4: Level of disruption of Pillar Two on finance enhancements and reporting



Evolving relationships: Expected increases in tax disputes

Pillar Two is expected to significantly influence tax disputes, with 77% of respondents considering it *very likely* or *moderately likely* to lead to more disputes (Figure 5). This sentiment is broadly consistent across regions; however, 17% of respondents from Latin America did not anticipate any increase in disputes, compared with the overall average of 8%. The complexity of the new rules, lack of clarity and certainty, and varying interpretations by countries were cited as major reasons for potential disputes.

“


The potential for disputes creates a lot of pressure to make sure our cross-border transactions are priced correctly or suffer the consequences of potentially getting double taxed or triple taxed. That's a huge concern and it has implications on how we conduct ourselves in terms of planning, in terms of how we can maximize our effective tax rates.

Tax leader at a Life Sciences & Health Care company in the United States

”

There appears to be some divergence in perspective based on role-specific priorities and insights, with 38% of tax leaders expecting an increase in disputes, compared to 27% of CFOs.

Figure 5: Anticipated impact of Pillar Two on tax disputes



03 ► Companies are re-evaluating talent and resource models for a new compliance context

Pillar Two remains a work in progress—companies, countries, and those who advise them are still coming to grips with evolving updates, requirements, and implications. It also compels companies to take a more strategic approach to taxes. Organizations surveyed expressed nearly equal levels of concern across people, processes, data, and technology regarding Pillar Two implementation requirements.

And in Deloitte's recent [Global Tax Policy survey](#), respondents ranked Pillar Two as their third-highest priority.

“


There are all kinds of complexity that have not been addressed here, specifically around M&A. There are always going to be interpretations that you're going to have to bring to court. That's just the nature of tax laws.

Head of Finance at a Property Management firm in the United States

”

The urgency and complexity of these new regulations amplify these concerns (Figure 6), extending beyond resource allocation to the accelerated speed needed to comply.

Figure 6: Top three greatest concerns about implementing Pillar Two




These challenges underscore the need for businesses to address these issues proactively through careful financial planning, robust compliance frameworks, and investment in expertise and resources. Standardizing and making data available across the organization is crucial for meeting Pillar Two requirements.

Closing the talent gap

Talent gaps are evident as companies grapple with how to interpret and meet the complex data collection and reporting mandates in a timely manner. The most significant gap lies in *technical tax skills*, cited by 39% of respondents (Figure 7). This was followed by a need for *income tax accounting expertise* (32%); Interestingly, *technology skills* were less of a concern, with only 12% viewing this as a primary gap.

These results point to a pressing need for targeted skills development to effectively meet the evolving demands of the new tax landscape, whether through internal training, outsourcing, or a combination of both.


Figure 7: Key skills gaps for Pillar Two implementation



Finding the optimal resource mix

To address their Pillar Two data gap assessment and remediation needs, companies are exploring various strategies, including insourcing, co-sourcing, and outsourcing. Approximately two-thirds of organizations were leaning toward external solutions, with 21% planning to *outsource* and 46% opting for *co-sourcing* (Figure 8). Despite this trend, 32% of companies still preferred to manage these requirements in-house. Similarly, to manage compliance requirements, 37% of companies planned to manage compliance *in-house*, 41% preferred *co-sourcing*, and 21% opted for *outsourcing*.

Figure 8: Approaches to meeting Pillar Two data gap assessments and remediation needs, and compliance requirements



Preferences in strategic choices related to data gap assessments and remediation needs varied across regions and company sizes. In North America, a majority (53%) of companies favored *co-sourcing* – as did companies in Europe (42%) and Asia Pacific (44%). In Latin America, however, 42% of organizations opted for *in-house* handling. Smaller organizations, those operating in fewer than 20 countries and with revenue between US\$1 billion and US\$5B billion were more inclined to *co-source*. In contrast, larger organizations were favoring *in-house* solutions, although this approach demands substantial time, effort, and resources to build and maintain the necessary capabilities.

“

In-house professionals know their business best and should keep a hand in the process to ensure they don't lose control of their own data. There's something to be gained by engaging the people who are experts.

Finance Director at an Energy & Resources company in the UK

”

Even companies that plan to do most of the heavy lifting internally may still turn to outside specialists to ensure they are on the right track. These insights highlight the need for flexibility in approach, balancing internal capabilities with external expertise to navigate the complex rules and regulations of Pillar Two effectively.

What companies are doing to prepare

To ease the compliance burden on companies, the OECD agreed to temporary “safe harbors”, short-term measures effectively excluding some operations in lower-risk jurisdictions from the scope of Pillar Two rules in initial years. But, businesses need to assess whether the rules apply to them, collect the necessary data, and have a contingency for the countries where the safe harbor conditions are not met. The survey results suggest businesses are actively preparing for the new Pillar Two tax framework, focusing on:

1. Enhancing processes: 24% of respondents were focusing first on setting up new tax and finance processes and controls for managing Pillar Two data and calculations



2. Advancing technology: 24% were implementing advanced technology systems to facilitate accurate Pillar Two calculations.



3. Upskilling teams: 18% were most focused on preparing training to equip their teams with the necessary skills for Pillar Two compliance.



4. Conducting transitional safe harbor assessments: 70% of respondents had completed estimates, with North American companies showing the highest levels of readiness (75% completion) and Latin American companies the lowest (58%).



5. Assessing operational and structural changes for Pillar Two compliance: More than half (52%) of companies have initiated assessments for *operational changes*, and 32% planned to start in the next six months. A third of respondents have begun *structural assessments*, with 43% planning to do so in the next six months.



6. Implementing governance measures: Initiatives that touch on so many different departments suggest the need for oversight, involvement, and guidance at the board level. While 73% of respondents believed addressing the challenges and questions related to Pillar Two is primarily the responsibility of the tax department, 62% had C-suite involvement, and 46% had the involvement of their board. Only 15% of respondents mentioned an external consultant's input as part of their governance structure. However, this figure may rise as companies consider outsourcing or co-sourcing options to manage Pillar Two compliance effectively.



7. Considering the use of Generative AI: Respondents were confident in the potential of GenAI to enhance the implementation of Pillar Two: 88% of respondents anticipated enhanced effectiveness and efficiency, while only 1% saw no impact. Many believed it is too early to shift anything to AI, and none identified specific use cases to explain its potential influence on Pillar Two implementation.



What's next: Four steps tax leaders can take now to prepare

Enactment of Pillar Two is gaining pace but will continue to evolve over time and leaders need to act now, while also remaining attuned to future developments. Businesses can continue preparing for potential challenges and be alert to opportunities, by focusing on:



01

People

Ensure the team understands the new rules and effective dates across jurisdictions. Train existing staff or enable collaborate between tax, finance, and IT teams to bring in expertise. Leverage existing skills while mastering new technology and data mining and management techniques.



02

Data

Pillar Two requires deep involvement of other departments across the organization—Continue the collaboration between tax, finance, and IT teams to address the data points required by Pillar Two and standardizing data across the organization globally. Identify data sources, select appropriate tools, and adapt data strategies as compliance obligations evolve.



03

Processes

Integrate Pillar Two calculations into financial systems and establish new compliance policies. Develop or procure necessary tools and consider outsourcing support. Use this opportunity to review and potentially overhaul tax accounting processes.



04

Technology

Evaluate and enhance current tax technology to meet Pillar Two requirements. Conduct a coordinated review by tax, IT, and accounting to identify gaps. Aim for a solution that is efficient, adaptable, and cost-effective. The goal is a solution that delivers on Day One and adapts to ongoing changes from the OECD and tax authorities, remaining easy to maintain over the next five to ten years.



The main thing in Pillar Two is, have we reviewed it? Do we have enough data to understand everything that's come about?" What do you include? What do you exclude?


Head of Tax at a Technology, Media & Telecommunications company in the United States



About the research


Deloitte surveyed over 500 tax leaders (75% of the total) and CFOs (25% of the total) across Europe, North and South America, and the Asia Pacific regions between November and December 2023. To understand the nuances better, in-depth individual interviews were conducted in the first quarter of 2024. The aim was to examine companies' views, concerns, and actions regarding Pillar Two implementation and its impact on business strategies, finance enhancements, reporting obligations, tax disputes, and overall operations. Respondents were from organizations operating in five or more countries, across various industries, with a minimum annual revenue of €750 million.

Respondents by region




- North America
- LATAM
- Europe
- APAC

Company size



- US\$10 billion or more
- US\$5 billion to less than \$10 billion
- US\$1 billion to less than \$5 billion
- US\$750 million to less than \$1 billion

Respondents' roles



- Tax leader
- CFOs

Contacts

For more information, contact:



Chad Hungerford

Global Leader, Pillar Two Tax

chungerford@deloitte.com

+1 408 704 2574



Amanda Tickel

Global Leader, Tax & Legal Policy

ajtickel@deloitte.co.uk

+44 (0)20 7303 3812



Deloitte refers to one or more of Deloitte Touche Tohmatsu Limited (DTTL), its global network of member firms, and their related entities (collectively, the “Deloitte organization”). DTTL (also referred to as “Deloitte Global”) and each of its member firms and related entities are legally separate and independent entities, which cannot obligate or bind each other in respect of third parties. DTTL and each DTTL member firm and related entity is liable only for its own acts and omissions, and not those of each other. DTTL does not provide services to clients. Please see www.deloitte.com/about to learn more.

Deloitte provides industry-leading audit and assurance, tax and legal, consulting, financial advisory, and risk advisory services to nearly 90% of the Fortune Global 500® and thousands of private companies. Our people deliver measurable and lasting results that help reinforce public trust in capital markets, enable clients to transform and thrive, and lead the way toward a stronger economy, a more equitable society, and a sustainable world. Building on its 175-plus year history, Deloitte spans more than 150 countries and territories. Learn how Deloitte’s approximately 457,000 people worldwide make an impact that matters at www.deloitte.com.

This communication contains general information only, and none of Deloitte Touche Tohmatsu Limited (DTTL), its global network of member firms or their related entities (collectively, the “Deloitte organization”) is, by means of this communication, rendering professional advice or services. Before making any decision or taking any action that may affect your finances or your business, you should consult a qualified professional adviser.

No representations, warranties or undertakings (express or implied) are given as to the accuracy or completeness of the information in this communication, and none of DTTL, its member firms, related entities, employees or agents shall be liable or responsible for any loss or damage whatsoever arising directly or indirectly in connection with any person relying on this communication. DTTL and each of its member firms, and their related entities, are legally separate and independent entities.

© 2024. For information, contact Deloitte Global.

Designed by CoRe Creative Services. RITM1788227