



OECD releases additional implementation guidance on CbC reporting

Global Transfer Pricing Alert 2017-030

The OECD on 18 July released additional guidance on the implementation of the country-by-country (CbC) reporting requirement introduced in the BEPS Action 13 final report. This guidance consolidates and expands all of the additional implementation guidance issued by the OECD since the release of the Action 13 Report. Also included is the additional implementation guidance issued on: (i) 29 June 2016; (ii) 5 December 2016; and (iii) 6 April 2017.¹ Because the 18 July release includes all of the information found in the prior three releases, when consulting OECD additional guidance on the Action 13 Report, it will only be necessary to refer to the 18 July guidance going forward.

To assist jurisdictions with the introduction of consistent domestic rules, the additional guidance addresses two specific issues:

- Whether aggregated data or consolidated data for each jurisdiction is to be reported in Table 1 of the CbC report; and
- How to treat an entity owned and/or operated by two or more unrelated multinational enterprise groups (MNE groups).

The 18 July implementation document also updates the list of countries that have indicated they will allow parent surrogate

¹ For prior coverage, see Global TP Alerts [2016-023](#), [2016-038](#), and [The Arm's Length Standard, June 2017](#).

filing (i.e., voluntary filing in the parent jurisdiction) but provides no substantive changes to this area of guidance as compared to the 6 April implementation document.

Aggregate *versus* consolidated data (new)

The 18 July guidance addresses whether the CbC financial data should be presented on an aggregated or consolidated basis for different group entities in a single jurisdiction. This issue may be of particular importance in reporting related-party revenue and total revenue. It arises, for example, under the following circumstances: Assume USP is the parent of a US consolidated group. Further assume that USP owns consolidated US subsidiaries A and B. Finally, assume that A takes out a loan of \$100 from B and pays B \$5 in interest on that loan. If data were reported on an aggregated basis, then the \$5 of interest would have to be reported as related-party revenue on the CbC report. If, however, data were reported on a consolidated basis, then, because of intragroup eliminations, the \$5 interest payment would not need to be reported as related-party revenues.

The 18 July implementation guidance states that reporting should be done on an aggregate basis. The guidance does provide, however, that jurisdictions may create an exception to this and allow reporting on a consolidated basis, just as long as: (i) consolidated data is reported for each jurisdiction on the CbC report; and (ii) consolidation must be used consistently across the years. Reporting entities are also required to provide an explanatory note on the CbC report if consolidated data is used. Importantly, however, this is applicable only to jurisdictions that have “a system of taxation for corporate groups which includes consolidated reporting for tax purposes, *and the consolidation eliminates intra-group transactions at the level of individual line items.*” (Emphasis added.) Inclusive Framework members that plan to adopt this exception are expected to implement this guidance as soon as possible, taking into account their specific domestic circumstances.

It appears that the United States will not provide such an exception. The US CbC regulations clearly provide that jurisdictional-level financial data should be “aggregated.” See Treas. Reg. §1.6038-4(d)(2). Although US Treasury officials had previously stated that the CbC report allows for flexibility with respect to intracountry eliminations, the final regulations did not adopt this rule.² Further, the US tax consolidation rules do not eliminate intragroup transactions at the line item level. We expect the IRS to update its CbC FAQ page in the near future to clarify its position on this issue.

Entities owned and/or operated by more than one unrelated MNE group (new)

According to the 18 July implementation guidance, if an entity is owned and/or operated by more than one unrelated MNE group, the treatment of that entity for CbC reporting purposes should be determined under the accounting rules applicable to each of the unrelated MNE groups separately.

² See, e.g., “U.S. Treasury Officials: New OECD Guidance Allows for Flexibility on Reporting Template,” Kevin A. Bell, Bloomberg BNA Accounting Policy & Practice Report, 4/24/2015.

If, therefore, the applicable accounting rules require an entity to be consolidated into the consolidated financial statements of an MNE group, then the entity would be considered a constituent entity of that group under Article 1.4 of the model legislation. In addition, when pro rata consolidation is applied to an entity in an MNE group in preparing the group's consolidated financial statements, jurisdictions may allow a pro rata share of the entity's total revenue to be taken into account for the purpose of applying the EUR 750 million threshold. Finally, jurisdictions may also allow an MNE group to include a pro rata share of the entity's financial data in its CbC report, in line with the information included in the MNE group's consolidated financial statements, instead of the full amount of this financial data.

These rules appear to be consistent with prior guidance regarding reporting of minority shares in accordance with certain local GAAP. The rules do not appear to have an impact on US MNEs, however, because pro-rata reporting is not applicable under U.S. rules.

Parent surrogate filing (updated)

When surrogate filing (including parent surrogate filing) is available, it will mean that there are no local filing obligations for the particular MNE in any jurisdiction that otherwise would require local filing in which the MNE has a constituent entity (herein referred to as the local jurisdiction). This is subject to certain conditions, as listed in the OECD guidance.

The 18 July implementation document provides updates regarding some of the countries that have indicated they will allow parent surrogate filing (that is, voluntary filing in the parent jurisdiction). The original list of countries from the June 2016 guidance was supplemented in the December 2016 guidance and the April 2017 guidance, and our prior alerts³ noted those changes. This [list of jurisdictions](#) is dynamic and may continue to be updated periodically by the OECD.

[Back to top](#)

Contacts

Philippe Penelle (Washington DC)
ppenelle@deloitte.com

Dave Varley (Washington DC)
dvarley@deloitte.com

Joseph Tobin (Washington DC)
jtobin@deloitte.com

Jamie Hawes (Washington DC)
jhawes@deloitte.com

Kaidi Liu (Washington DC)
kaliu@deloitte.com

³ [Global TP Alert 2016-38](#) and [The Arm's Length Standard, June 2017](#).

[Back to top](#)

Useful links

Resources

- [2016 Global Transfer Pricing Country Guide](#)
- [Arm's length standard](#)
- [Transfer pricing alerts](#)

Get Connected

- [Deloitte tax@hand](#)
- [Join Dbriefs](#)
- [Follow @Deloitte Tax](#)
- www.deloitte.com/tax

[Back to top](#)



Get in touch



Deloitte refers to one or more of Deloitte Touche Tohmatsu Limited, a UK private company limited by guarantee (“DTTL”), its network of member firms, and their related entities. DTTL and each of its member firms are legally separate and independent entities. DTTL (also referred to as “Deloitte Global”) does not provide services to clients. Please see www.deloitte.com/about to learn more about our global network of member firms.

Deloitte provides audit, consulting, financial advisory, risk advisory, tax and related services to public and private clients spanning multiple industries. Deloitte serves four out of five Fortune Global 500® companies through a globally connected network of member firms in more than 150 countries and territories bringing world-class capabilities, insights, and high-quality service to address clients’ most complex business challenges. To learn more about how Deloitte’s approximately 245,000 professionals make an impact that matters, please connect with us on [Facebook](#), [LinkedIn](#), or [Twitter](#).

This communication contains general information only, and none of Deloitte Touche Tohmatsu Limited, its member firms, or their related entities (collectively, the “Deloitte Network”) is, by means of this communication, rendering professional advice or services. Before making any decision or taking any action that may affect your finances or your business, you should consult a qualified professional adviser. No entity in the Deloitte Network shall be responsible for any loss whatsoever sustained by any person who relies on this communication.

© 2017. For information, contact Deloitte Touche Tohmatsu Limited.

30 Rockefeller Plaza
New York, NY 10112-0015
United States



Official Professional Services Sponsor

Professional Services means audit, tax, consulting, and advisory.

Copyright © 2016 Deloitte Development LLC. All rights reserved.
36 USC 220506

To no longer receive emails about this topic please send a return email to the sender with the word "Unsubscribe" in the subject line.