Deloitte.

BEPS Actions implementation by country Canada

On 5 October 2015, the G20/OECD published 13 final reports and an explanatory statement outlining consensus actions under the base erosion and profit shifting (BEPS) project. The output under each of the BEPS actions is intended to form a complete and cohesive approach covering domestic law recommendations and international principles under the OECD model tax treaty and transfer pricing guidelines. The G20/OECD output broadly falls into the following categories:

OECD categorisation	Definition	
Minimum standard	andard All G20/OECD members are committed to	
Revision of existing standard	consistent implementation	
Common approach	Common approaches to facilitate convergence of national practices	
Best practice	Guidance drawing on best practices	

It is now for governments to digest and introduce the necessary legislation. The table below sets out a summary of the expected local country implementation and timing in Canada.



Last reviewed by Deloitte: July 2017

More information on the Global Tax Reset & BEPS >>>

Action	OECD categorisation	Notes on local country implementation	Expected timing
VAT on business to customers digital services (Action 1)	Common approach	There are no specific legislative changes to date as a result of the BEPS project.	N/A – no specific actions
Hybrids (Action 2)	Common approach	There are no specific legislative changes to date as a result $N/A - no$ specific actions of the BEPS project.	
CFCs (Action 3)	Best practice	There are no specific legislative changes to date as a result of the BEPS project.	N/A – no specific actions
Interest deductions (Action 4)	Common approach	There are no specific legislative changes to date as a result of the BEPS project.	N/A – no specific actions
Harmful tax practices (Action 5)	Minimum standard	In the March 2016 federal budget, the government indicated its intention to introduce procedures for the spontaneous exchange of tax rulings with other countries that have undertaken the same procedure in accordance with the BEPS Action 5 minimum standard.	
		On 22 April 2016, the Canada Revenue Agency (CRA) released Income Tax Information circular IC70-6R7, Advance Income Tax Rulings and Technical Interpretations, which contains new paragraphs 54 and 55 under the heading "Exchange of Information on Rulings under BEPS Action 5." These paragraphs indicate Canada's commitment to the spontaneous exchange of information on tax rulings with participating countries in five areas: cross-border rulings relating to preferential regimes; cross-border rulings related to transfer pricing;	N/A – no specific actions

Canada: BEPS Actions implementation Last updated: July 2017			More information on the Global Tax Reset & BEPS >>>	
		not directly reflected in the taxpayer's accounts; PE rulings; and related-party conduit rulings. At the federal level, Canada currently does not have a patent box regime, so no changes are required regarding the minimum standard in this area.		
Prevent treaty abuse (Action 6)	Minimum standard	In the March 2016 budget, Canada a adopt the approach outlined in Actic		See comments under Action 15 below
Permanent establishment (PE)status (Action 7)	Revision of existing standard	Canada has reserved the right for the changes to the permanent establishment definition not to be included in 15 below		
Transfer pricing (Actions 8-10)	Revision of existing standard	In the March 2016 budget, Canada indicated that it plans follow the revised OECD transfer pricing guidelines.		Many of the BEPS concepts related to transfer pricing have already been articulated and are followed by CRA auditors even in the context of historical years
Disclosure of aggressive tax planning (Action 12)	Best practice	Canada has a reporting regime, bu have been announced in relatior recommendations.		N/A – no specific actions

Canada: BEPS Actions implementation Last updated: July 2017	More information on the Global Tax Reset & <u>BEPS >>></u>

Transfer pricing documentation (Action 13)	Common approach	Canada has transfer pricing documentation requirements, but no new specific requirements have been announced other than regarding CbC reporting. Canada has not introduced a requirement to utilize a master file and local file approach to transfer pricing documentation recommended under Action 13, but instead existing Canadian transfer pricing documentation requirements as stipulated in subsection 247(4) of the Income Tax Act will continue to apply.	
CbC reporting (Action 13)	Minimum standard	Final legislation to implement CbC reporting requirements for Canadian multinational enterprises was passed in Canada on 15 December 2016. The prescribed form (RC4649) to be used for CbC reporting was released by the CRA on 3 February 2017, followed by detailed guidance in RC4651 released on 2 March 2017. Canadian CbC reporting legislation generally conforms to the OECD model legislation. Canada is one of the countries that signed a multilateral competent authority agreement for the automatic exchange of CbC reports.	
Dispute resolution (Action 14)	Minimum standard Complemented by best practice	Canada is one of the countries participating in the BEPS See comments under Action project that are committed to binding arbitration. 15 below.	
Multilateral Instrument (Action 15)	Applicable across all four categories	Canada signed the multilateral instrument (MLI) on 7 June 2017, but has registered provisional reservations with respect to most measures. Canada has adopted thoseSubject to ratification of the provisions that set out the BEPS minimum standards forMLI (application of the new preventing treaty abuse, as well as provisions that relateand/or amended rules as from to dispute resolution. Canada has opted into the1 January 2019 possible) mandatory binding arbitration provisions, as recommended in BEPS action 14.	

Canada has indicated that it will continue to review its reservations with respect to the MLI provisions, with a view to the removal of some if considered appropriate.

Unilateral BEPS Actions

A domestic anti-treaty shopping measure proposed in Budget 2014 would have overridden tax treaties. While the proposal was not specifically addressed in the 2016 Budget, Canada now instead plans to follow the treaty abuse recommendations set out in Action 6, discussed above.

Other Tax Developments

Deloitte.

Deloitte refers to one or more of Deloitte Touche Tohmatsu Limited, a UK private company limited by guarantee ("DTTL"), its network of member firms, and their related entities. DTTL and each of its member firms are legally separate and independent entities. DTTL (also referred to as "Deloitte Global") does not provide services to clients. Please see **www.deloitte.com/about** to learn more about our global network of member firms.

Deloitte provides audit, consulting, financial advisory, risk advisory, tax and related services to public and private clients spanning multiple industries. Deloitte serves four out of five Fortune Global 500® companies through a globally connected network of member firms in more than 150 countries and territories bringing world-class capabilities, insights, and high-quality service to address clients' most complex business challenges. To learn more about how Deloitte's approximately 245,000 professionals make an impact that matters, please connect with us on Facebook, LinkedIn, or Twitter.

This communication contains general information only, and none of Deloitte Touche Tohmatsu Limited, its member firms, or their related entities (collectively, the "Deloitte network") is, by means of this communication, rendering professional advice or services. Before making any decision or taking any action that may affect your finances or your business, you should consult a qualified professional adviser. No entity in the Deloitte network shall be responsible for any loss whatsoever sustained by any person who relies on this communication.

© 2017. For information, contact Deloitte Touche Tohmatsu Limited.