

Dec 3/10

Dec 3, 2010

No one attended to oppose. The case on behalf of the Applicants provided detailed submissions on each heading of relief contained in the order. The matter supports the relief requested. I do not propose to address each heading but observe that the proposal relating to the convenience class is comparable in the circumstances; the Knyer case filed claim would have been accepted in the ordinary course & was late filed due to inadvertence; the proposal relating to the reserve & distribution of the surplus is practical & consistent with the overall scheme of the Plan. I have signed the requested order.

M. Repall, J.

ONTARIO
SUPERIOR COURT OF JUSTICE

Proceeding commenced at Toronto

MOTION RECORD
(Returnable December 3, 2010)

STIKEMAN ELLIOTT LLP
Barristers & Solicitors
5300 Commerce Court West
199 Bay Street
Toronto, Canada M5L 1B9

Sean F. Dunphy LSUC#: 24941J
Tel: (416) 869-5662

Alexander D. Rose LSUC#: 49415P
Tel: (416) 869-5261

Sarah Clarke LSUC#: 57377M
Tel: (416) 869-6835
Fax: (416) 947-0866

Lawyers for the Approving Debtors